

Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List Fact Sheet

Background

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that each state identify those waters that do not currently support designated uses and establish a priority ranking of these waters by taking into account the severity of the pollution and the designated uses of such waters. For each waterbody on the list, the state is required to establish a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for the pollutant or pollutants of concern at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards. Current Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance encourages states to establish and focus on priority areas for restoration through TMDL development. Alabama has developed a Prioritization Framework Document that details the Department's TMDL prioritization process. This Framework for TMDL development during 2022-2032 is included with this fact sheet in **Appendix A**.

Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List

Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List includes segments of rivers, streams, lakes, reservoirs, and estuaries that do not fully support their currently designated use or uses. Most of the waterbodies on the 2024 §303(d) List also appeared on Alabama's 2022 §303(d) List as submitted to EPA in March 2022. The Department has attempted to obtain and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information. The notice soliciting information is included in **Appendix B**. The notice was published in Alabama's four major newspapers, appeared on the Department's web page, and was sent to the Department's general mailing list. Data in the Department's multiple databases, information from §319 nonpoint assessments, special watershed studies, other federal and state agencies, industries, and watershed initiatives were evaluated as the 2024 §303(d) List was compiled. Any individual or organization may submit additional data or information during the advertised comment period relative to water quality impairment in waterbodies in Alabama. Chemical, physical, and biological data collected primarily during the previous six years have been considered in the preparation of the §303(d) List, consistent with the Department's water quality assessment and listing methodology. Comments on the methodology were solicited in the public notice included in **Appendix B**. Alabama's water quality assessment and listing methodology may be found at the Department's web page at: <https://adem.alabama.gov/programs/water/wquality/2024WAM.pdf>

Data sources include the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, the Alabama Department of Public Health, the Geological Survey of Alabama, the United States Geological Survey, the Tennessee Valley Authority, other public agencies, universities, county and municipal governments, and industries.

The list contains information such as the waterbody name, county(s) in which the listed segments are located, cause(s) for the use impairment, the source(s) of the pollutant(s) known or suspected to be causing the impairment, the size of the impaired segments, and the location of the listed waterbodies.

Changes since the 2022 §303(d) List

A number of differences exist between the 2024 §303(d) List and the 2022 §303(d) List. Some of the changes were to correct errors or omissions in the 2022 List and to provide additional or updated information about waterbodies on the list. Other significant changes since 2022 include the addition and deletion of waterbodies.

Table 1 shows the new waterbody/pollutant combinations that are being added to Alabama's §303(d) List and the justification for the additions.

Table 2 provides the waterbody/pollutant combinations that are being removed from the list and placed in a different category and the corresponding justification for each removal.

Table 3 provides a listing of other changes appearing on the 2024 §303(d) List. Many of these changes result from changes to Assessment Units or corrections to causes and sources. Also, some of the TMDL priorities have been adjusted.

Table 1
Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List
New Waterbody/Pollutant Combinations Appearing on the 2024 List

The waterbody/pollutant combinations listed in the following table are proposed for addition to Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List for the reasons presented in the table.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03150203-0106-110	Chaney Creek	Alabama	Dallas Perry	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CYD-1 from 2018, 2020, and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 21 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2020, 2021
AL03150203-0406-100	Pine Barren Creek	Alabama	Butler Wilcox	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PBMW-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 7 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160109-0101-150	Riley Maze Creek	Black Warrior	Cullman Marshall	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 18 samples at ADEM station RMA-1, 3 out of 10 samples at RMA-2, and 4 out of 16 samples RMA-3.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160109-0101-600	Tibb Creek	Black Warrior	Cullman Marshall	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TIBC-1 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160109-0109-900	Pan Creek	Black Warrior	Cullman	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PANC-2 from 2020 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 9 samples.	ADEM 2020, 2021
AL03160109-0603-102	Mulberry Fork	Black Warrior	Walker	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MBFW-2 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160109-0604-711	Lost Creek (Bankhead Lake)	Black Warrior	Jefferson Walker	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2021 based on records from ADEM station BANT-5.	ADPH 2021

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160109-0604-900	Baker Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	Total Dissolved Solids	A Macroinvertebrate Assessment at ADEM station BAKW-9 on 5/11/2018 had a Poor WMB-I score. Total dissolved solids values measured at this site were consistently higher than the 90th percentile 68f ecoregional value.	ADEM 2018
AL03160110-0304-100	Clear Creek	Black Warrior	Winston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CLCW-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160111-0201-100	Wynnville Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station WHPB-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 7 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160111-0202-200	Graves Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station GRVB-4 from 2021 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 15 samples.	ADEM 2021-2022
AL03160111-0206-800	Mill Creek	Black Warrior	Blount	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MILB-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160111-0307-100	Turkey Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TRKJ-1A from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160111-0410-100	Locust Fork	Black Warrior	Jefferson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LFKJ-5 from 2017 & 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 9 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160112-0106-111	Valley Creek (Bankhead Lake)	Black Warrior	Jefferson Tuscaloosa Walker	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BANT-6 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160112-0401-101	Clear Creek	Black Warrior	Fayette	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CLEF-31 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160112-0401-103	Clear Creek	Black Warrior	Fayette	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CLEF-33 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160112-0410-111	Binion Creek (Lake Tuscaloosa)	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TUST-5 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160112-0505-101	Black Warrior River (Oliver Lake)	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2021 based on records from ADEM station OLIT-1.	ADPH 2021
AL03160113-0105-111	Big Sandy Creek (Warrior Lake)	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station WARG-5 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03160113-0607-100	Black Warrior River (Warrior Lake)	Black Warrior	Greene Hale Tuscaloosa	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2021 based on records from ADEM stations WARG-1, WARG-2 & BWR-8.	ADPH 2021
AL03160113-0704-100	Cottonwood Creek	Black Warrior	Hale Marengo Perry	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station COTH-57C from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160113-0707-110	Big German Creek	Black Warrior	Hale	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BGEH-46A from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 7 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03160113-0806-101	Black Warrior River (Demopolis Lake)	Black Warrior	Greene Hale Marengo	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2021 based on records from ADEM station BWRH-1.	ADPH 2021
AL03150202-0506-100	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Bibb Perry	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CABB-7 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03150202-0902-102	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Dallas	Metals (Mercury)	A fish consumption advisory issued by the Alabama Department of Public Health in 2023 based on records from ADEM station DANW-6.	ADPH 2023
AL03130002-0903-200	Oselige Creek	Chattahoochee	Chambers	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station OSGC-2 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03130003-1307-100	Barbour Creek	Chattahoochee	Barbour	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM stations BRC-2 and BRC-3 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 7 samples and 4 out of 7 samples, respectively.	ADEM 2021
AL03130004-0607-100	Omusee Creek	Chattahoochee	Henry Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CHTH-4 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03140201-0901-100	Harrand Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Dale	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM stations HDC-1 and HDC-2 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 8 samples and 2 out of 8 samples, respectively.	ADEM 2021
AL03140201-0904-100	Claybank Creek	Choctawhatchee	Dale Geneva	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CLYD-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140202-0607-100	Cripple Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Covington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CRPC-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140202-0803-400	Eightmile Creek	Choctawhatchee	Geneva	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station ETMG-1 from 2017 and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2021
AL03150105-0705-110	East Fork Little River	Coosa	Cherokee DeKalb	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station EFLC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03150106-0305-101	Big Canoe Creek	Coosa	St Clair	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BCCS-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03150106-0511-101	Cheaha Creek	Coosa	Talladega	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CHET-1 from 2018 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 15 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2022
AL03140301-0303-104	Conecuh River	Escambia	Crenshaw Pike	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CONC-3 from 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 12 samples.	ADEM 2017-2018, 2021
AL03140303-0504-101	Pigeon Creek	Escambia	Conecuh Covington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PGNC-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2017-2019, 2021
AL03140303-0601-100	Sepulga River	Escambia	Butler Conecuh	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SPLC-3 from 2017, 2018, and 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 12 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140305-0101-100	Wet Weather Creek	Escambia	Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station WWEE-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03170008-0402-111	Escatawpa River	Escatawpa	Mobile	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station E-1 from 2017-2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 7 out of 27 samples.	ADEM 2017-2022
AL03160205-0206-101	Bon Secour River	Mobile	Baldwin	Pathogens (Enterococcus)	Records at ADEM station BSBB-5 from 2018 and 2021 show that the enterococcus criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2021
AL03140106-0104-100	Perdido River	Perdido	Baldwin Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PRDE-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140106-0302-101	Brushy Creek	Perdido	Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BRU-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140106-0302-201	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BOB-4 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 10 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140106-0507-100	Styx River	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station STXB-2B from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL03140107-0104-300	Soldier Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SLDB-5 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03150109-0501-102	Little Sandy Creek	Tallapoosa	Tallapoosa	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LSCT-1 from 2018 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2022
AL06030001-0202-500	Higdon Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Organic Enrichment (BOD)	Records at ADEM station HDND-1 from 2022 show that the dissolved oxygen criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 11 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL06030001-0303-100	Little Crow Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LCRJ-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL06030001-0307-100	Crow Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CRWJ-3 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL06030001-0806-600	Drum Creek	Tennessee	Marshall	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station DC-4 from 2018 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2022

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL06030001-0807-111	Short Creek (Guntersville Lake)	Tennessee	Marshall	Nutrients	Records at ADEM station GUNM-8 show that the chlorophyll a mean growing season value was 25 ug/l in 2018 and 32 ug/l in 2022.	ADEM 2018, 2022
AL06030002-0502-100	Pinhook Creek	Tennessee	Madison	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PINM-2 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 6 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL06030002-0603-100	Cotaco Creek	Tennessee	Marshall Morgan	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CTCM-38 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL06030002-0604-100	Town Creek	Tennessee	Morgan	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TWNM-24 from 2021 show the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 5 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021
AL06030002-0906-600	Limestone Creek (Wheeler Lake)	Tennessee	Limestone	Nutrients	Records at ADEM station WHEL-5 show that the chlorophyll a mean growing season value was 30 ug/L in 2018 and 35 ug/l in 2021.	ADEM 2018, 2021
AL03160106-0701-100	Toms Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TMSS-44 from 2017 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 13 samples.	ADEM 2017, 2022
AL03160201-0107-100	Barton Creek	Tombigbee	Marengo	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BTNM-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0201-100	Little Kinterbish Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LKNS-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0202-200	Brockway Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BKYS-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0403-100	Cotohaga Creek	Tombigbee	Marengo Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station CHGS-1 from 2018 and 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 16 samples.	ADEM 2018, 2022
AL03160201-0505-100	Bogue Chitto	Tombigbee	Choctaw	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BCTC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0702-100	Tallahatta Creek	Tombigbee	Clarke	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station TLHC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Causes	Basis for Addition to the List	Source / Date of Data
AL03160201-0803-100	Puss Cuss Creek	Tombigbee	Choctaw	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PSCC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0807-200	Souwilpa Creek	Tombigbee	Choctaw	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SWPC-5 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160201-0902-100	Sucarbowa Creek	Tombigbee	Choctaw	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station SUBC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160202-0701-100	Ponkabia Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station PKBS-2 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160202-0703-200	Mill Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station MILS-2 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 3 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160203-0203-100	Harris Creek	Tombigbee	Clarke	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station HARC-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples. Records at ADEM station HARC-2 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 4 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03160203-1103-700	Bilbo Creek	Tombigbee	Washington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station BLBW-1 from 2022 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2022
AL03140103-0103-100	Lightwood Knot Creek	Yellow	Covington	Pathogens (E. coli)	Records at ADEM station LWKC-1 from 2021 show that the E. coli criterion was exceeded in 2 out of 8 samples.	ADEM 2021

Table 2
Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List
Waterbody/Pollutants Removed from the 2022 List

The waterbody/pollutant combinations in the following table are currently listed on Alabama's 2022 §303(d) List and are proposed for removal from Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List for the reasons presented. Waterbody/pollutant combinations for which EPA has approved a TMDL will be included in Category 4A of the 2024 Integrated Water Quality Report.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
AL03160112-0503-100	Cottdale Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL03130003-0605-100	Ihagee Creek	Chattahoochee	Russell	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL03130004-0206-100	Bennett Mill Creek	Chattahoochee	Henry	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03130012-0201-310	Webb Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03130012-0201-410	Cooper Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03130012-0202-100	Rocky Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03130012-0202-210	Bruners Gin Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03130012-0203-110	Cowarts Creek	Chipola	Houston	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03140202-0702-110	Flat Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Covington Geneva	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03140203-0201-100	Wrights Creek	Choctawhatchee	Geneva	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03150107-0104-100	Shirtee Creek	Coosa	Talladega	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
AL03150107-0106-100	Tallaseehatchee Creek	Coosa	Talladega	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03150107-0203-100	Weewoka Creek	Coosa	Talladega	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03140106-0302-202	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL03140106-0302-203	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL03150110-0504-101	Calebee Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	Siltation (Habitat Alteration)	Available data for Calebee Creek indicates that impairment for Siltation does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to “more recent data,” which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030001-0306-100	Little Coon Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Siltation (Habitat Alteration)	Available data for Little Coon Creek indicates that impairment for Siltation does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to “more accurate data,” which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030002-0201-100	Clear Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL06030002-0403-302	Chase Creek	Tennessee	Madison	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL06030002-0501-110	Indian Creek	Tennessee	Madison	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL06030002-0505-102	Indian Creek	Tennessee	Madison	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/27/22.
AL06030002-0603-600	Mill Pond Creek	Tennessee	Marshall	Siltation (Habitat Alteration)	Available data for Mill Pond Creek indicates that impairment for Siltation does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to “more recent data,” which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).
AL06030005-0301-200	Chandelower Creek	Tennessee	Colbert	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.

Assessment Unit	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Cause (Pollutant)	Good Cause Justification for Removal
AL06030006-0304-500	Rock Creek	Tennessee	Colbert	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03160108-1005-100	Bodka Creek	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03160108-1102-100	Noxubee River	Tombigbee	Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03160201-0504-200	Clear Creek	Tombigbee	Choctaw Sumter	Pathogens (E. coli)	TMDL Approved by EPA on 08/31/23.
AL03140103-0102-800	UT to Lake Frank Jackson 2-S	Yellow	Covington	Organic enrichment (BOD)	Available data for the UT to Lake Frank Jackson 2-S indicates that impairment for Organic Enrichment (BOD) does not currently exist. Therefore, ADEM will not develop a TMDL due to “more recent data,” which is a just cause for delisting waterbodies according to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 130.7(b)(6)(iv).

Table 3
List of Other Changes Appearing on Alabama's 2024 §303(d) List

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03150201-0601-100	Swift Creek	Alabama	Autauga Chilton	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150203-0101-100	Washington Creek	Alabama	Dallas Perry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150203-0108-110	Bear Creek	Alabama	Dallas Perry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150203-0406-100	Pine Barren Creek	Alabama	Butler Dallas Wilcox	Assessment Units AL03150203-0408-101 and AL03150203-0406-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150203-0408-100.
AL03160109-0205-100	Mulberry Fork	Black Warrior	Blount Cullman	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160109-0601-102	Cane Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160109-0601-902	Town Creek	Black Warrior	Walker	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160110-0201-100	Brushy Creek	Black Warrior	Lawrence Winston	Assessment Units AL03160110-0203-104 and AL03160110-0201-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160110-0203-103.
AL03160110-0203-110	Inman Creek	Black Warrior	Winston	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160110-0304-100	Clear Creek	Black Warrior	Winston	Assessment Units AL03160110-0305-204 and AL03160110-0304-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160110-0305-203.
AL03160111-0106-100	Slab Creek	Black Warrior	Blount Marshall	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160111-0407-101	Fivemile Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160111-0407-103	Fivemile Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160112-0105-101	Mud Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160112-0105-102	Mud Creek	Black Warrior	Jefferson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160112-0305-110	Daniel Creek	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03160112-0501-110	Yellow Creek (Lake Harris)	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	Assessment Units AL03160112-0501-110 and AL03160112-0501-111 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160112-0501-102.
AL03160113-0302-110	Elliotts Creek	Black Warrior	Hale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160113-0602-300	Carthage Branch	Black Warrior	Tuscaloosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160113-0708-100	Big Prairie Creek	Black Warrior	Hale Perry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160113-0806-101	Black Warrior River (Demopolis Lake)	Black Warrior	Greene Hale Marengo	Assessment Units AL03160113-0806-101 and AL03160113-0806-102 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03160113-0806-100.
AL03150202-0402-100	Mahan Creek	Cahaba	Bibb Chilton	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03150202-0505-100	Affonee Creek	Cahaba	Bibb	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150202-0506-200	Walton Creek	Cahaba	Bibb Perry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150202-0902-102	Cahaba River	Cahaba	Dallas Perry	Assessment Units AL03150202-0902-102 and AL03150202-0901-101 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150202-0902-101.
AL03130002-0907-100	Moores Creek	Chattahoochee	Chambers	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03130004-0403-110	Peterman Creek	Chattahoochee	Henry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03130004-0405-100	Abbie Creek	Chattahoochee	Barbour Henry	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140202-0301-200	Buckhorn Creek	Choctawhatchee	Bullock Pike	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140202-0504-200	Huckleberry Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee Dale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140202-0505-200	Halls Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140202-0601-200	Patrick Creek	Choctawhatchee	Coffee	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03150106-0103-100	Big Wills Creek	Coosa	DeKalb Etowah	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to High.
AL03150106-0108-102	Big Wills Creek	Coosa	Etowah	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to High.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03150106-0305-101	Big Canoe Creek	Coosa	Jefferson St. Clair	Assessment Units AL03150106-0305-101 and AL03150106-0302-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150106-0306-100.
AL03150107-0304-700	UT to Dry Branch	Coosa	Shelby	The priority ranking for Nutrients on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03150106-0511-101	Cheaha Creek	Coosa	Talladega	Assessment Units AL03150106-0511-101 and AL03150106-0509-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03150106-0511-100.
AL03140301-0303-104	Conecuh River	Escambia	Covington Crenshaw Pike	Assessment Units AL03140301-0404-104 and AL03140301-0303-104 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140301-0404-103.
AL03140303-0504-101	Pigeon Creek	Escambia	Butler Conecuh Covington	Assessment Units AL03140303-0504-101 and AL03140303-0502-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140303-0504-100.
AL03140303-0601-100	Sepulga River	Escambia	Butler Conecuh Covington	Assessment Units AL03140303-0703-103 and AL03140303-0601-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140303-0703-102.
AL03170008-0402-111	Escatawpa River	Escatawpa	Mobile Washington	Assessment Units AL03170008-0402-111 and AL03170008-0206-100 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03170008-0402-110.
AL03160204-0401-100	Gunnison Creek	Mobile	Mobile	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03160204-0505-502	D'Olive Creek	Mobile	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03160205-0204-402	Turkey Branch	Mobile	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03160205-0205-702	Fly Creek	Mobile	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140106-0104-100	Perdido River	Perdido	Baldwin Escambia	Assessment Units AL03140106-0104-100 and AL03140106-0701-103 were created from a split of Assessment Unit AL03140106-0701-102.
AL03140106-0203-100	Dyas Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140106-0302-101	Brushy Creek	Perdido	Escambia	The priority ranking for Metals (Lead) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to Low.
AL03140106-0302-203	Boggy Branch	Perdido	Escambia	The priority ranking for Metals (Lead) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to Low.
AL03140107-0201-210	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (Enterococcus) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140107-0201-220	Sandy Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (Enterococcus) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.


Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL03140107-0202-101	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (Enterococcus) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140107-0202-102	Miflin Creek	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (Enterococcus) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL03140107-0204-302	Perdido Bay	Perdido	Baldwin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (Enterococcus) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150108-0405-102	Tallapoosa River	Tallapoosa	Cleburne	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150109-0303-100	High Pine Creek	Tallapoosa	Randolph Chambers	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150109-0308-100	Emuckfaw Creek	Tallapoosa	Clay Tallapoosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0104-104	Sougahatchee Creek	Tallapoosa	Lee Macon Tallapoosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0304-100	Uphapee Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0402-102	Channahatchee Creek	Tallapoosa	Elmore	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0406-200	Mill Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon Tallapoosa	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0702-100	Bughall Creek	Tallapoosa	Bullock Macon	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03150110-0804-101	Line Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon Montgomery	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been changed from High to Medium.
AL03150110-0804-102	Line Creek	Tallapoosa	Macon Montgomery	The priority ranking for Siltation on this Assessment Unit has been changed from High to Medium.
AL06030002-0101-100	Hurricane Creek	Tennessee	Jackson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL06030002-0203-100	Paint Rock River	Tennessee	Jackson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL06030002-0203-401	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL06030002-0203-402	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL06030002-0203-403	Cole Spring Branch	Tennessee	Jackson	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.

Assessment Unit ID	Waterbody Name	River Basin	County	Revision
AL06030002-1202-200	Neeley Branch	Tennessee	Lauderdale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to Medium.
AL06030005-0509-800	Indiancamp Creek	Tennessee	Lauderdale	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL06030006-0201-300	Payne Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL06030006-0201-900	Harris Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL06030006-0206-101	Little Bear Creek	Tennessee	Franklin	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Low to High.
AL03160106-0504-100	Bogue Chitto	Tombigbee	Pickens	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to High.
AL03160201-0604-100	Horse Creek	Tombigbee	Marengo Clarke	The priority ranking for Pathogens (E. coli) on this Assessment Unit has been changed from Medium to High.

Appendix A

Prioritization Framework

State of Alabama Prioritization Framework



Alabama Department of Environmental Management
January 2024

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires states to identify waterbodies within their boundaries that are not in compliance with applicable water quality standards. For those waterbodies identified as not meeting water quality standards, states are required to develop a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the pollutant which is not in compliance with the applicable standard. A TMDL is the maximum amount of a pollutant (from point and nonpoint sources) that can be released into a waterbody without causing a violation of water quality standards.

In 2013, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed a new framework for implementing the Section 303(d) program: “A Long-Term Vision for Assessment, Restoration, and Protection under the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program.” The 2013 Vision provided states an opportunity to establish priorities for restoration or protection of waters for the 2013-2022 time period. In 2022, EPA published the “2022-2032 Vision for the CWA Section 303(d) Program.” This Vision also encourages states to establish and focus on priority waters for restoration or protection.

The Alabama Department of Environmental Management (Department) utilized the knowledge and expertise of the TMDL development staff along with consultation with the Department’s nonpoint source and NPDES programs when prioritizing waters for restoration through TMDL development or through an advance restoration approach. The Department also reviewed comments related to TMDL development that were submitted by the public on previous §303(d) lists.

The top four pollutants with regard to number of waterbodies on Alabama’s current §303(d) list are pathogens, metals (mercury), siltation, and nutrients. The Department will prioritize continuing to address the pathogens impairments through the development of TMDLs. Nutrient impairments will also remain a priority for TMDL development.

The Department has been conducting research and collecting data to develop an improved and straightforward approach to addressing siltation impairments through TMDLs. Reference data is continuing to be collected for each of the applicable eco-regions in Alabama in addition to data on waterbodies listed as impaired for siltation. This process will continue over the next several years, and TMDLs will be prioritized for development once an appropriate strategy has been determined.

As noted above, Alabama also has a significant number of waterbodies on the §303(d) list that are impaired for mercury due to atmospheric deposition. The Department currently has plans to develop a statewide mercury TMDL that will address all these impairments at once. Currently, data collection and analysis, along with a review of other states’ statewide mercury TMDLs, are ongoing. The Department will work to develop this statewide TMDL once sufficient data is available and an approach has been developed.

The Department has also been collecting additional data and information regarding impairments for total dissolved solids (TDS). This research will continue over the next several years so that defensible and implementable TMDLs can be developed to address the waterbodies currently identified as impaired due to TDS.

The Department’s NPDES program indicated that giving priority to revising a particular existing TMDL would be helpful for their program. The TMDL program agrees that the TMDL should be revised and will therefore prioritize that TMDL revision during this Vision time period.

TMDL development will most likely begin with those waterbodies for which data is readily available and any required modeling is already underway. TMDLs that will require model development and/or additional data collection and/or research will follow in later years.

TMDLs will be the main restoration approach utilized to address existing water quality impairments. The Department will also consider the use of advance restoration plans, which are plans that are intended to address impairments prior to TMDL development, where there may be interested stakeholders and/or restoration activities already in place.

The Department currently utilizes a three-year rotating basin approach for water quality monitoring. Under this approach, the state's major basins are sampled once every three years. TMDLs are typically scheduled to be drafted one to three years after the basin containing the impaired waterbody is sampled. The Department's TMDL development staff will continue to coordinate with the Department's monitoring staff in sampling planning so that the necessary data can be collected and TMDLs for priority waterbodies can be developed.

Partnerships within the Department and with other agencies and stakeholders is a priority goal under the 2022 Vision. As mentioned above, the TMDL program is already working closely with the Department's monitoring program in order to ensure that data needs for TMDL development will be met. In addition, the TMDL program will work to improve communication and coordination with the nonpoint source program to align goals so that resources can be used in the most efficient manner possible. Continued coordination with the NPDES program will also be needed to ensure that TMDLs requiring point source reductions are easily understood and implementable.

The Department will also look to coordinate with government agencies such as EPA Region 4, USGS, TVA, and others for TMDL planning, data collection/analysis, etc. As the Vision process continues, the Department will attempt to include the programs within ADEM and any other agencies that may be affected by or have an interest in TMDLs or other restoration activities.

This prioritization strategy will be made available for public input along with the draft 2024 §303 list. The Department will consider any comments received from the public regarding this strategy and make any changes that may be warranted based on the comments provided. If specific waterbodies are identified as priorities for the public, those will be considered for inclusion as priority waters. In addition, the Department will work to communicate with affected stakeholders during the development of individual TMDLs and other restoration planning activities.

The TMDL staff will continue to utilize existing tools and explore the possibility of using new tools for data collection and analysis and TMDL development. Geographic information systems (GIS) and water quality modeling are important tools used in developing TMDLs, and the Department's staff will continue to pursue training opportunities related to GIS and modeling so that thorough and implementable TMDLs and other restoration approaches are developed.

Every two years, the Department will determine specific waterbodies for which TMDLs will be developed in accordance with the priorities described in this Framework. The waterbodies will be selected based on data availability, available resources, interested stakeholders, and other relevant factors.

The §303(d) list is updated every two years. Each new list will be evaluated to determine if any changes to the Department's priorities should be made. It is not anticipated that significant changes will be

necessary; however, the Department will remain flexible in order to work toward the goal of improved water quality across Alabama.

The Department's TMDL program is looking forward to continually working with stakeholders and other affected programs and agencies in the prioritization of restoration efforts throughout the state. It is anticipated that the 2022 Vision will allow for the efficient and effective use of resources to bring about improvements in Alabama's water quality.

Appendix B
Public Notice

Alabama Department of Environmental Management

Notice Requesting Data and Information for Preparation of Alabama's Draft 2024 Section 303(d) List of Impaired Waters and Comments on Alabama's Draft Water Assessment and Listing Methodology

Public Notice - 210

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires that each state identify those waters that do not currently support designated uses and establish a priority ranking of the waters, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of the waters. For each water on the list, the state is required to establish the total maximum daily load (TMDL) at a level necessary to implement the applicable water quality standards.

At this time, ADEM has begun development of the 2024 Section 303(d) list and is soliciting data and information for consideration during preparation of the list. Also, the Department is soliciting comments on Alabama's Water Assessment and Listing Methodology which will be used to develop the 2024 Section 303(d) list. The methodology has been prepared to assist the Department in the development of the 303(d) list and establishes minimum data requirements and assessment/listing protocols. In order to be fully considered in this process, persons wishing to offer a submittal should do so in an electronic format.

While the Department will consider all data submitted, we reserve the right to incorporate only those data that meet minimum quality standards. The Department is not bound by interpretations provided by data submitters. It should also be noted that the Department is unable to pay a fee for the use of data. Data, information, and comments should be submitted to Joseph Roy, Water Division, Alabama Department of Environmental Management, P.O. Box 301463, Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463 (street address: 1400 Coliseum Boulevard, Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400) or by e-mail at jtr@adem.alabama.gov. **Data, information, and comments must be received by the Department prior to 5:00 p.m. on September 14, 2023.**

An electronic copy of the Draft Water Assessment and Listing Methodology is available on ADEM's website under the Public Notice section at the following address: <http://adem.alabama.gov/newsEvents/publicNotices.cnt>

This notice is hereby given this **16th day of August** by authorization of the Alabama Department of Environmental Management.

Lance R. LeFleur, Director

Nondiscrimination Statement: The Department does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the administration of its programs.