



State of Alabama
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Loan Program

SRF Section
Permits and Services Division
Alabama Department of Environmental Management
Post Office Box 301463
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

(334) 271-7714
srf@adem.alabama.gov

DWSRF Bipartisan Infrastructure Legislation (BIL) Lead Service Line (LSL) Intended Use Plan



Fiscal Year 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION..... 3

II. PROGRAM GOALS..... 3

 A. SHORT-TERM GOALS (OUTPUTS) 3

 B. LONG TERM GOALS (OUTCOMES) 4

 C. PROGRAM CHANGES..... 4

III. SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS: 4

 PROJECTED SOURCES:..... 4

 PROJECTED USES: 5

 A. TRANSFER OF FUNDS 5

 B. ELIGIBLE PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED..... 5

 C. FINANCIAL TERMS OF LOANS 5

IV. PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD FOR DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS..... 6

 A. PRIORITY LIST 6

 B. ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION..... 6

 C. PREVAILING WAGES..... 8

 D. DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS TO SET-ASIDE ACCOUNTS 8

 E. SELECTION OF SYSTEMS TO RECEIVE ASSISTANCE..... 8

 F. PROJECT BYPASS/REALLOTMENT: 9

V. SET-ASIDE ACTIVITIES 10

 A. ADMINISTRATIVE SET-ASIDE..... 10

VI. PROGRAM INCOME..... 10

 A. ESTIMATED GRANT DRAW SCHEDULE 11

 B. ESTIMATED GRANT DISBURSAL SCHEDULE 11

 C. CAPITALIZATION GRANT BUDGET PERIODS:..... 12

VIII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 12

IX. REPORTING..... 12

ATTACHMENT 1 – PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

ATTACHMENT 2 – PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

ATTACHMENT 3 – ALABAMA DWSRF PRE-APPLICATION FORM

I. Introduction

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996 authorized a Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) for the purpose of assisting public water systems to finance the cost of potable water infrastructure. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is authorized to award capitalization grants to the States, which in turn administer the DWSRF program. This Intended Use Plan (IUP) describes how the State intends to use available DWSRF program funds for the year to meet the objectives of the SDWA and further the goal of protecting public health.

The State of Alabama is applying for \$33,981,000 (\$28,650,000 for fiscal year 2023 and \$5,331,000 in re-allocated funds) in EPA grant funding to address lead service line replacement that will be used to provide low interest financial assistance from the DWSRF program. No state match is required for the DWSRF BIL Lead Service Line Capitalization Grant.

Alabama's DWSRF is designed to be a perpetual source of low-cost financial assistance for the construction of public water supply facilities needed to meet compliance standards and public health requirements. Once ultimate capitalization has been achieved, the program may utilize the direct loan repayments, undedicated interest from the bond debt service reserve funds and construction funds and assets of the Master State Revolving Account as the source funds to fund direct loans.

ADEM has set its short- and long-term goals of this IUP to align with EPA's strategic goals and objectives FY 2022-2026 EPA Strategic Plan. The Office of Water has identified specific measures that address the strategic goals and objectives outlined by EPA in its strategic plan. A basis for each goal in this program IUP has been identified. These references ensure that all of the specific commitments made by the State are properly correlated to the strategic goals and objectives of the Agency.

Alabama agrees to comply with all Title VI requirements of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Equal Employment Opportunity requirements (Executive Order 11246 as amended) which prohibit activities that are intentionally discriminatory and/or have a discriminatory effect based on race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), age, disability, or sex.

II. Program Goals

A. Short-term goals (Outputs)

1. To provide financial assistance for the identification and replacement of lead service lines of public water supply facilities on the DWSRF Priority List.
2. To provide DWSRF financial assistance to include additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness for not less than the required minimum of 49% of the total capitalization grant. The minimum amount of additional subsidization for fiscal year 2023 is \$16,650,691. The additional subsidization will be allocated exclusively to

disadvantaged communities for the identification and replacement of lead service lines. (Deliverable)

3. To support American workers, renew the water workforce, and cultivate domestic manufacturing by ensuring compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act and American Iron and Steel (AIS) and Build America Buy America (BABA) requirements. (Deliverable)
4. To implement the State's DWSRF in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act and to ensure conformance with Federal crosscutting requirements.

B. Long term goals (Outcomes)

1. To maintain the DWSRF program and the long-term fiscal integrity of the fund.
2. To provide a self-perpetuating source of financial assistance for the construction of public water treatment and distribution facilities needed to meet the public health goals of the Safe Drinking Water Act.
3. To fund projects which will have a positive impact on public health and ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.
4. To assist systems in ensuring affordable water supply.

C. Program Changes

No major changes to the program are proposed for this fiscal year. Additional information has been provided for clarity; however, no programmatic changes have been included.

III. Sources and Uses of Funds:

The Department is expected to fund FY 2023 lead service line replacement projects using the 2023 EPA Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Lead Capitalization Grant. No State Match is required for the EPA BIL Lead Capitalization Grant. The estimated sources and uses of funds in the FY 2023 DWSRF program are as follows:

Projected Sources:

2023 EPA DWSRF BIL Lead Cap Grant:	\$28,650,000
2023 EPA DWSRF BIL Lead Reallocation:	\$5,331,000
DWSRF State Match:	\$0
Direct Loan Repayments, Interest Earnings, and Unobligated Funds	\$18,335,122*
<u>Total:</u>	<u>\$33,981,000</u>
Overall Total:	\$49,985,122**

*Note: Estimated future repayments and interest earnings from loans.

**Note: Estimated repayment funds are not included in project totals. These funds will be included in future fiscal year(s) IUPs as repayments are received.

Projected Uses:

Project Assistance:	\$33,981,000
Funds Reserved for Administrative Cost (4%):	\$0
Total:	\$33,981,000

A. Transfer of Funds

In accordance with 40 CFR 35.3530, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (the Department) reserves the right to transfer funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) to the DWSRF as needed. Funds transferred from the CWSRF to the DWSRF are to be used to fulfill the DWSRF infrastructure financing demand as needed. Any funds proposed to transfer in the future will be included on an Intended Use Plan (IUP) and become available for public review prior to transferring funds.

B. Eligible Projects to Be Funded

Eligible projects include the identification, planning, design, and replacement of lead service lines:

- Complete removal of lead service lines (public and privately owned portion)
- Removal of lead or galvanized goosenecks, pigtails and connectors
- Replacement of curb stops, curb stop boxes, and other service line appurtenances
- Site restoration, including landscaping, sidewalks, driveways, etc. if the removal was necessary to replace the lead service line
- Permit fees if the fees are normal, required and specific to the LSLR
- Temporary pitcher filters or point-of-use (POU) devices
- Development or updating of lead service line inventories, including locating and mapping local service lines.
 - Methods of investigation to develop inventories can include visual observation, water quality sampling (not for compliance), excavation, vacuum or hydro-excavation, statistical analysis, or other emerging technologies
- Planning and design for infrastructure projects listed above
- Non-routine lead sampling (if not for compliance purposes) as part of a LSLR project

C. Financial Terms of Loans

The Fund may offer loans for up to 100 percent of allowable project costs for the construction of water treatment and distribution facilities and may offer a range of options regarding the term, interest rate and level of loan funding. Such loans must be made at or below market interest rates as determined by the Department. Loan interest rates will usually be set approximately 1% - 1.5% less than the AAA rated tax exempt municipal bonds.

The total term financing shall not exceed 20 years or, under special circumstances, 30 years may be considered. Repayments shall commence after completion of construction or within

3 years for which such financial assistance was made. Financial assistance repayments shall be made in accordance with the repayment schedule indicated in the recipient's financial agreement. Principal and accrued interest with respect to a particular financial agreement may be prepaid in accordance with the provisions of the financial agreement. Interest shall accrue from the estimated date of the execution of the DWSRF financial agreement.

Project fund disbursements to recipients at intervals as work progresses and expenses are incurred and approved.

The specific terms and conditions of the funds shall be incorporated in the financial agreement to be executed by the recipient and the Department.

IV. Project Selection and Method for Distribution of Funds

A. Priority List

In order to be considered for DWSRF BIL Lead funding assistance, projects must be an eligible project as defined in Section III.B (Eligible Project to Be Funded), on or added to the Priority List, and have a proposed project schedule that coincides with the availability of DWSRF BIL Lead funds. The DWSRF priority list was developed by identifying the priority point rating for each proposed project. The funding of such projects is also subject to the availability of funds.

The State reserves the right to fund projects not on the priority list, on an emergency basis, if funds are available. Emergency projects would include those where some type of failure was unanticipated and requires immediate attention to protect public health. Additionally, supplemental loans may be issued to previous recipients as needed to complete segmented projects or to cover cost overruns. See Attachment 1.

B. Additional Subsidization

The 2023 EPA Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Lead Capitalization Grant includes a requirement for a minimum of \$16,690,650 (combination of 49% for 2023 Capitalization Grant and reallocation funds) be provided to disadvantaged communities in the form of additional subsidy. The Department will meet these requirements by offering selected borrowers additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness. The Department expects to allocate principal forgiveness to projects in communities determined to be disadvantaged based on the following criteria: small (less than 10,000) communities, assessment of financial records, ratio of annual average water bill to median household income (MHHI) and utilization of the Justice40 Mapping Tool.

The Department will utilize Table 1 to evaluate and score communities to determine principal forgiveness ranking. The highest ranked projects are considered disadvantaged and will receive principal forgiveness until it is exhausted (see Attachment 1). Any subsequent revision to this project list will ensure principal forgiveness will be provided to meet the required percentage of the Capitalization Grant.

Criteria	Points
<10,000 population	1
<1.1x coverage ratio	1
Project location considered disadvantaged on Justice40 Map	1
Ratio of Water Bill/MHHI	Actual Number

Table 1

A community is defined as disadvantaged if the criteria for population, coverage ratio, or Justice 40 map coverage results in a value greater than 1 point or the ratio of water bill to median household income is greater than 1. A community can be defined as disadvantaged based on an individual category (i.e., financially disadvantaged, small, etc.); however, the disadvantaged rank will be determined based on the sum of the categories above, when possible.

Each individual category is briefly described below:

- <10,000 population – this is considered a “small” community. Since maintenance and cost of water system improvements is generally based on economy of scale (i.e., higher tax base results in higher tax revenue), a small community would be considered disadvantaged when compared to larger communities.
- <1.1x coverage ratio – A coverage ratio is defined as a borrower’s revenue divided by debt. This metric is meant to capture financial affordability. If a coverage ratio is 1.1x, a community would make 10% more in revenue than they expend in debt. The Department analyzes rate structures and frequency of rate increases to determine if the coverage ratio can be modified. A borrower cannot “afford” a loan if the coverage ratio is less than 1.1x.
- Justice 40 Map – the Department utilizes the data and census information provided by the White House’s Justice 40 Map. If a project’s area is within a disadvantaged area as defined by the map criteria, the community is considered disadvantaged.
- Ratio of Water Bill/MHHI – Along with coverage ratio, this metric determines a community’s ability to increase revenue through rate increases. If a coverage ratio is 1.00 then the rates are appropriate for the median household income of the area. If the rates are higher than one (1) then the applicant may have the ability to increase rates without burdening the service area population. If the ratio is less than one (1), then the population provided water by the project pays more for water than expected based on median household income.

The Department has authority to provide additional subsidization to meet the requirements by the Code of Alabama Section 22-23B-3.

C. Prevailing Wages

Davis-Bacon wage requirements apply for fiscal year 2021 and each fiscal year thereafter and the requirements of section 1450(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-9(e)) shall apply to any construction project carried out in whole or in part with assistance made available by the DWSRF as authorized by section 1452 of that Act (42 U.S.C. 300j-12). The Department will include in all loan agreements and procurement contracts terms and conditions requiring compliance with this requirement.

D. Build America, Buy America (BABA)

Build America, Buy America (BABA) will be implemented for this fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter. BABA will be required for eligible projects funded through the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) unless a waiver is granted.

BABA is considered a federal cross-cutting requirement that applies to SRF assistance equivalent to the federal capitalization grant (i.e., “equivalency” projects). EPA’s SRF regulations at 40 CFR 35.3145 and 35.3575 require states and recipients of SRF funds equivalent to the amount of the federal capitalization grant to comply with federal cross-cutting requirements. Section 70914 of the IIJA, which states when a Buy America preference applies, explains that “none of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Therefore, BABA only applies to projects funded in an amount equivalent to the federal capitalization grant.

E. Distribution of Funds to Set-Aside Accounts

EPA provisions allow funds to be set aside from the State Revolving Fund Capitalization Grant for activities such as administration of the SRF Program, operator training and technical assistance, special drinking water projects, and source water assessment. These activities are discussed in “Set-Aside Activities” below.

F. Selection of Systems to Receive Assistance

To the maximum extent possible, the DWSRF gives priority for the use of funds to projects that address the most serious risk to human health and are necessary to ensure compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act.

The criteria for ranking projects give priority to projects that:

1. Provide the highest nature of benefit;
2. Benefit the most people per dollar expended;
3. Assist systems most in need on a per household affordability basis as required by the Safe Drinking Water Act.
4. Use consolidation with other systems to correct existing deficiencies and improve management.

These considerations are addressed by the Priority Ranking Criteria found in ADEM Administrative Code R. 335-11-2-.04 and in the DWSRF Pre-Application provided in Attachment 3.

When two or more projects score equally under the Project Priority System a tie breaking procedure will be utilized. The project with the smallest number of existing customers served will receive the higher ranking.

A project on the fundable portion of the list may be bypassed and the next eligible project funded if it is determined that the project will not be ready to proceed during the funding year. The Department will give the applicant whose project is to be bypassed written notice. Projects that have been bypassed may be funded at a later date when the project is ready to proceed. Should a system on the funded list decline the loan, the next ranked project shall be offered access to all or a portion of these funds.

G. Project Bypass/Reallotment:

The Department may bypass any project on the DWSRF Priority List that is not, in the Department's opinion, making satisfactory progress in satisfying requirements for DWSRF assistance. Bypassed projects will be removed from the priority list. In determining whether or not a project is making satisfactory progress in satisfying the requirements for DWSRF assistance, the Department shall use the criteria contained in subparagraphs 1- 6 of this paragraph. Funds released through project bypass will be considered as uncommitted and available for redistribution in accordance with this section.

1. Any project on the DWSRF Priority List may be bypassed if the applicant fails to submit a complete DWSRF application.
2. The Department may use individual project schedules developed by the Department to determine whether or not the project is making satisfactory progress during the fiscal year.
3. In order to comply with EPA certification restrictions related to equivalency requirements, it may be necessary to bypass projects which have not complied with Title II requirements and other federal authorities.
4. Any project on the DWSRF Priority List may be bypassed if the applicant fails to demonstrate the ability to repay the loan.
5. To maintain the fiscal integrity of a leveraged loan program or provide funds for new construction, the Department may choose to bypass projects which involve refinancing of existing debt.
6. Projects may be removed from the priority list at the request of the applicant or if the Department finds that the project is ineligible for DWSRF assistance.

V. Set-Aside Activities

A. Administrative Set-Aside

SRF Guidelines allow states to set aside 4% of the grant for SRF administrative costs. The Department is not allocating set aside funds for FY23; however, the Department reserves the right to take administrative set-aside funds in future fiscal years. Any set-aside funding will be included on an Intended Use Plan (IUP) and provided for comment by the public prior to use.

VI. Certifications

1. The Department certifies that this IUP will be subject to public review and comment with a public notice period of 30 days.
2. The Department certifies that all drinking water treatment facility projects in this IUP are on the DWSRF Priority List.
3. The Department certifies that it will enter into binding commitments for 120% of each payment under the DWSRF capitalization grant within one (1) year after receipt of each payment.
4. The Department certifies that it will expend all funds in the DWSRF in an expeditious and timely manner.
5. The Department certifies that all facilities funded by the DWSRF shall complete a NEPA-like environmental review process.
6. The Department is in compliance with the operator certification and capacity development regulations along with the associated reporting requirements.
7. The Department certifies that it will comply with all requirements of the 1997 Operating Agreement with EPA.
8. The Department certifies that it will complete a Benefits Assessment worksheet for each loan agreement executed in order to comply with EPA environmental results reporting requirements.

VII. Program Income

The Alabama Drinking Water Finance Authority, with ADEM as its agent, assesses a fee annually based on outstanding principal. These fees vary based on the fiscal year to which the loan agreement was secured. These fees are collected twice a year, when the recipient initiates repayment of the loan. In accordance with EPA regulations, fees collected from loans sourced from outstanding grants will be used for administration of the SRF fund only. All other fees will be used for activities eligible for the DWSRF grant only. The Department expects to receive fees during FY 2023 as follows:

Total Program Income	Program Income Collected During Grant Period	Program Income Collected After Grant Period
\$3,414,433.34	\$0.00	\$3,414,433.34

Note: Calculated with an interest rate of 2.2% for fiscal year 2023.

VII: Estimated DWSRF Capitalization Grant Schedules

6. Estimated Grant Draw Schedule

Fiscal Year	Month	Draw
2024	Jan	\$2,831,750
2024	Feb	\$2,831,750
2024	Mar	\$2,831,750
2024	Apr	\$2,831,750
2024	May	\$2,831,750
2024	Jun	\$2,831,750
2024	Jul	\$2,831,750
2024	Aug	\$2,831,750
2024	Sep	\$2,831,750
2024	Oct	\$2,831,750
2024	Nov	\$2,831,750
2024	Dec	\$2,831,750
Total		\$33,981,000

7. Estimated Grant Disbursal Schedule

Payment Quarter	Payment Date	Payment Amount
FY2024/Quarter 3	04/01/24	\$16,990,500
FY2024/Quarter 4	07/01/24	\$16,990,500

Payments are defined as increases to the amount of funds available from the federal SRF capitalization grant. This draft payment schedule is based on the State's projection of binding commitments and disbursements from the SRF to the members of the SRF project list. The disbursement schedule will essentially coincide with the grant payment schedule as ACH draw requests will be processed only upon submittal of payment requests from loan recipients for actual costs incurred. Funds from the ACH will be disbursed to the recipient immediately. The disbursement of funds will be in proportion to the amount of state and federal funds provided by the grant and state match. This will be ensured by disbursing all state match funds prior to drawing capitalization grant funds for project disbursements.

C. Capitalization Grant Budget Periods:

2023 EPA DWSRF Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Lead Capitalization Grant

October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2029

VIII. Public Participation

The IUP was provided for public comment on February 20, 2024 for a period of 30 days. Comments were not received specifically for the lead service line IUP; however, comments were included in the CWSRF, DWSRF, and BIL IUPs that referenced lead service lines. Therefore, the comments are included within this IUP and all comments have been addressed. The comments are attached to the final IUP. Minor changes were made as a result of the comments; however, no changes were made that would require an additional public notice period.

IX. Reporting

1. Annual reports are required for the BIL DWSRF LSL Capitalization Grant. Each annual report is due on December 30th following each fiscal year period. The annual report for the 2023 DWSRF BIL LSL funding will include the results from the period of October 1, 2023 through September 30, 2024 and will be submitted on or before December 30, 2024.

2. The Department will submit information on projects into the DWSRF National Information Management System (NIMS) as binding commitments are entered into with the borrowers as proposed in this IUP.

Attachment 1: Project Priority List

Project #	Applicant Name	Project Description	City/Town	County	Justice 40 Map Coverage	Population	Financial Rank	DW Ratio	Disadvantaged Rank	Priority Ranking Points	DW BIL-Lead FY	DW BIL-Lead Amount Granted	DW BIL LSL Amount of PF	DW BIL-Lead % of PF	Applied for Project Amount
FS010122-18	Birmingham Water Works Board	Lead Service Line Replacement (Supplemental)	Birmingham	Jefferson	Yes	202,234	-	N/A	N/A	SUPP	2023	\$9,925,186	\$0	0%	\$9,925,186
FS010325-01	Brewton, City of	Water System Improvements - Lead Service Lines Replacement	Brewton	Escambia	No	5,288	1	1.36	2.36	170	2023	\$3,937,875	\$1,575,150	40%	\$3,937,875
FS010455-01	Camden, City of	2022 Lead Service Line Inventory and Replacement	Camden	Wilcox	Yes	2,128	1	1.22	3.22	35	2023	\$2,027,323	\$2,027,323	100%	\$2,027,323
FS010177-02	Centreville Water Works and Sewer Board	Lead Service Line Replacement	Centreville	Bibb	No	2,789	-	1.44	2.44	45	2023	\$1,295,641	\$1,295,641	100%	\$1,295,641
FS010444-03	Eutaw, City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Eutaw	Greene	Yes	1,400	-	0.91	1.91	25	2023	\$101,669	\$101,669	100%	\$101,669
FS010401-01	Florala Utilities Board	Water Main Replacement - Lead Service Line	Florala	Covington		1,779	-	1.74	2.74	70	2023	\$1,270,515	\$653,943	51%	\$1,694,020
FS010413-01	Gadsden Water Works & Sewer Board	Lead Service Line Inventory	Gadsden	Etowah	Yes	34,317	-	2.22	2.22	45	2023	\$955,000	\$955,000	100%	\$955,000
FS010187-03	Haleyville Water Works and Sewer Board	Lead Service Line Replacement	Haleyville	Winston	Yes	4,343	-	2.84	3.84	50	2023	\$894,641	\$894,641	100%	\$894,641
FS010427-01	Hartford, City of	Water Improvements - Lead Service Line	Hartford	Geneva	No	2,630	-	0.55	1.55	65	2023	\$3,588,950	\$1,256,133	35%	\$3,588,950
FS010552-01	Jasper, City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Jasper	Walker		14,370	1	0.99	0.99	25	2023	\$100,000	\$100,000	100%	\$100,000
FS010489-02	Kinston, Town of	Water Improvements - Distribution - Lead Service Line	Kinston	Coffee	Yes	793	1	1.91	3.91	55	2023	\$1,945,016	\$1,945,016	100%	\$1,945,016
FS010423-01	Lanett, City of	Water System Improvements - Lead Service Line	Lanett	Chambers	Yes	6,821	-	1.83	2.83	55	2023	\$3,000,000	\$1,206,990	25%	\$3,000,000
FS010406-01	Louisville, Town of	Water System Improvements - Lead Service Line	Louisville	Barbour	No	562	1	1.10	3.10	70	2023	\$1,221,700	\$1,221,700	100%	\$1,221,700
FS010006-02	Marbury Water System	Water System Improvements - Lead Service Line	Marbury	Autauga	No	1,893	-	1.51	2.51	75	2023	\$500,000	\$500,000	100%	\$500,000
FS010006-03	Marbury Water System	Lead Service Line Inventory	Marbury	Autauga		1,893	-	-	1.00	25	2023	\$150,000	\$150,000	100%	\$150,000
FS010002-05	Northport, City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Northport	Tuscaloosa	No	30,334	-	1.19	1.19	25	2023	\$235,082	\$235,082	100%	\$235,082
FS010558-01	Phenix City, City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Phenix City	Lee/Russell		38,216	1	0.64	0.64	25	2023	\$34,000	\$34,000	100%	\$34,000
FS010559-01	Pine Hill, Town of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Pine Hill	Wilcox	Yes	865	-	1.59	2.59	25	2023	\$100,000	\$100,000	100%	\$100,000
FS010393-01	Samson, City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Samson	Geneva		1,567	1	1.53	3.53	70	2023	\$1,000,000	\$700,000	70%	\$2,210,774
FS010057-05	Thorsby, Town of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Thorsby	Chilton	Yes	2,483	-	0.86	1.86	70	2023	\$84,008	\$84,008	100%	\$84,008
FS010600-01	Uniontown, The Waterworks and Sewer Board of the City of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Uniontown	Perry	Yes	2,045	1	1.88	3.88	25	2023	\$1,400,000	\$1,400,000	100%	\$1,400,000
FS010519-04	Wilcox County Water and Sewer District	Lead Service Line Inventory	Camden	Wilcox	Yes	2,128	1	0.83	1.83	25	2023	\$165,850	\$165,850	100%	\$165,850
FS010601-01	Wilsonville, Town of	Lead Service Line Inventory	Wilsonville	Shelby		2,167	-	1.15	2.15	25	2023	\$48,544	\$48,544	100%	\$48,544
Total	23											\$33,981,000	\$16,650,690	49%	\$35,615,279

Attachment 2: Project Descriptions – DWSRF BIL Lead Service Line

Birmingham Water Works and Sewer Board – Birmingham Lead Service Line Repair

The Birmingham Water Works Board proposes to replace all the known lead and/or unknown service lines in the entire distribution system. Completion of this project would improve water quality for all affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Jasper Waterworks and Sewer Board – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Jasper Waterworks and Sewer Board proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

City of Phenix City – Lead Service Line Inventory

The City of Phenix City proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

City of Hartford – Lead Service Line Replacement

The City of Hartford proposes to remove and replace lead service lines downstream of cast iron and galvanized mains with lead joints and lead “gooseneck” connections throughout the City. This project would improve water quality for all affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

City of Lanett – Lead Service Line Replacement

The City of Lanett proposes to replace existing lead service lines downstream of lead and galvanized mains with lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for all affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Town of Thorsby – Lead Service Line Inventory and Replacement

The Town of Thorsby proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

The Town of Thorsby proposes to eliminate known galvanized service lines downstream of galvanized main line with lead goosenecks and replace with copper service lines.

City of Eutaw – Lead Service Line Inventory

The City of Eutaw proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Haleyville Water Works and Sewer Board – Lead Service Line Replacement

The Haleyville Water Works and Sewer Board proposes to replace known service lines with lead goosenecks downstream of galvanized main line with copper service lines. The project would improve water quality for all affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Gadsden Water Works and Sewer Board – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Gadsden Waterworks and Sewer Board proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Centreville Water Works and Sewer Board – 2022 Cast Iron Water Main/Lead Service Line Replacement

The Centreville Water Works and Sewer Board has proposed eliminating known galvanized service lines that contain lead goosenecks downstream from the main and replace with new copper service lines.

City of Northport – Lead Service Line Inventory

The City of Northport proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Town of Pine Hill – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Town of Pine Hill proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Floral Utilities Board – Lead Service Line Replacement

Floral Utilities Board proposes to replace service lines downstream of galvanized main line and lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Marbury Water System – Lead Service Line Replacement

The Marbury Water System proposes to replace service lines downstream of galvanized main line and lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Marbury Water System – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Marbury Water System proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

City of Brewton – Lead Service Line Replacement

The City of Brewton proposes to eliminate approximately 6,000 linear feet of galvanized service lines downstream of lead goose necks. This project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

City of Samson – Lead Service Line Replacement

The City of Samson proposes to replace service lines downstream of galvanized main line and lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Cit of Camden – Lead Service Line Inventory

The City of Camden proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Town of Louisville – Lead Service Line Replacement

The Town of Louisville proposes to replace service lines downstream of galvanized main line and lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

Town of Kinston – Lead Service Line Replacement

The Town of Kinston proposes to replace service lines downstream of galvanized main line and lead goosenecks. The project would improve water quality for affected customers and improve compliance with the Lead and Copper Rule.

The Waterworks and Sewer Board of the City of Uniontown – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Waterworks and Sewer Board of the city of Uniontown proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Wilcox County Water and Sewer District – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Wilcox County Water and Sewer District proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.

Wilsonville, Town of – Lead Service Line Inventory

The Town of Wilsonville proposes to conduct a lead service line inventory. The inventory will identify service lines made of lead and/or any service lines made of galvanized steel downstream of lead goose necks. The inventory is required to be submitted by October 16, 2024.



Form 370: Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Preapplication

Project Name	
Assistance Amount Requested	\$
Date Submitted	



Submit Complete Preapplication to:	
Preferred method By email:	srf@adem.alabama.gov
By overnight mail:	1400 Coliseum Boulevard Montgomery, Alabama 36110-2400 (334) 271-7714
By mail:	SRF Section Alabama Department of Environmental Management Post Office Box 301463 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

Section 1: Contact Information

Loan Applicant

Applicant Name			
Authorized Representative (Signatory of Loan Agreement)		Title of Authorized Representative	
Email Address		Telephone Number	
Contact Person (Daily SRF Communications)		Title of Contact Person	
Email Address		Telephone Number	
Mailing Address		City, Zip Code	
County		UEI Number	
Fax Number		PWSID Number	
AL House District(s)		AL Senate District(s)	
Total Number of System Connections (Current)		Population of System	

Project Engineer:

Firm Name	
Address	
City, State, Zip code	
Engineer Name	
Telephone Number	
Email Address	
Fax Number	

Section 2: Project Information

For the following questions, please attach additional pages if adequate space is not provided on this form:

Break down the total project cost (categories should sum to 100%) and list all other funding sources to be utilized to complete this project.

Treatment:	%	Other Funding Source(s)	Amount(s)	Commitment Date
Distribution:	%			
Source:	%			
Storage:	%			

Enter the Median Household Income (MHI) for the affected community:	Enter the Average Annual Household Water Bill Based on 5,000 Gal Usage:
Source:	Source:
\$	\$

Priority Ranking System

The following factors are used to rank the proposed project and will ultimately determine if the project is fundable. The applicant must provide documentation where required in order to receive credit.

*Any ranking criteria that cannot be verified through supporting documentation by the Department will be awarded zero points.

A. Enforcement and Compliance Rating Criteria (Maximum: 50 points)*

	Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1	The system is under formal enforcement action by ADEM. Completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	50
2	The project is a voluntary effort to resolve noncompliance and will mitigate the issuance of a formal enforcement action.	40
3	The system is currently in compliance but will be in imminent noncompliance without the proposed project.	25

B. Drinking Water Contaminants Criteria (Maximum: 150 points)*

	Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1	The system has current primary MCL violations and completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	100
2	The system has current secondary MCL violations and completion of the project will return the system to compliance.	50
3	The primary purpose of the project is to extend service to persons presently served by contaminated wells.	50

C. Water/Energy Efficiency Rating (Maximum: 45 points)*

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project significantly reduces water loss. The unaccounted reported water loss during the last 12-month period was: 50% or higher 35% - 49% 20% - 34% 15% - 19%	25 20 10 5
2	The project incorporates energy efficient design considerations with established objectives and targets for energy reduction opportunities.	5
3	The project uses renewable energy such as wind, solar, geothermal, hydroelectric, micro-hydroelectric, biogas combined heat and power (CHP) systems, or biofuels production to provide power to a drinking water treatment plant.	5
4	The project implements upgrades to pumps and treatment processes which result in: a) 20% or greater reduction in energy consumption at a drinking water treatment plant. b) 10-20% reduction in energy consumption at a drinking water treatment plant, or 20% or greater reduction in energy consumption at a remote pump station.	10 5

D. Capacity and Pressure Criteria (Maximum: 100 points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The system lacks adequate capacity to provide safe drinking water. Completion of the project will restore capacity to existing customers.	100
2	The project will mitigate pressure readings of <20 psi at 50 or more customer meters.	50
3	The project will mitigate pressure readings of <20 psi at 10-49 customer meters.	25

E. System Consolidation Criteria (Maximum: 100 points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project will result in the elimination of at least one public water system.	100
2	The project will establish a new interconnection between two water systems, where the beneficiary water system (or portion of the beneficiary water system) is served by only one source.	25

F. Sustainability Criteria (50 possible bonus points) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Value
1	The project implements one or more of the following planning methodologies: a) Asset Management Plan	10
2	The project includes one or several of the following design considerations: a) LEED certified or other ADEM-approved green building techniques. b) Project envelope is located in a previously developed area. c) Use of environmentally friendly post-consumer recycled or reclaimed materials.	5 5 5
3	The project incorporates at least one of the following construction methods: • Innovative erosion control practices; • Protection of onsite trees, vegetation, native habitats and urban forests; or • Replanting of disturbed areas with native plant species.	5
4	The project will utilize one or more of the following water conservation strategies: a) Incorporates sustainable water pricing practices and rate structures. b) Completion of EPA's Water Quality Scorecard (see http://www.epa.gov/smartgrowth/water_scorecard.htm).	10 5

G. Reporting Criteria (Maximum point reduction: 30) *

Ranking Criteria		Point Reduction
1	The system was cited during the last twelve months for late submittal of Monthly Operating Reports (MOR) or Consumer Confidence Reports (CCR), or was cited for a monitoring/reporting violation.	-10
2	The system was sent a Drinking Water Needs Survey and/or a Clean Watershed Needs Survey in the last four years and failed to return a completed survey.	-20

H. Affordability Criteria (Maximum: 60 points)

Ranking Criteria	Point Value
Divide the Average Annual Household Water Bill by the Median Household Income (from Page 2) and multiply by 100%:	
2.50% or higher	60
2.00 – 2.49%	40
1.50 – 1.99%	20
Less than 1.50%	0

I. Infrastructure Improvement Criteria*

Ranking Criteria	Point Value
1 Construction of a new water treatment plant	20
2 Level of treatment upgrade to an existing water treatment plant	15
3 Modifications to address disinfection byproduct requirements	25
4 Replacement of water lines due to age, leaks, breaks, or lead or asbestos-cement pipe	10
5 Installation of new water lines, where none existed previously	5
6 Rehabilitation or replacement of a water storage tank	15
7 Installation of a new water storage tank	10
8 New or upgraded pump station (not associated with a tank project)	5
9 Security improvements to a water system	5
10 Emergency power generators	5
11 Construction of a new well	15
12 Rehabilitation/upgrade of an existing well	10
13 Installation of green stormwater infrastructure at a water treatment plant	5
14 Installation of water meters in previously unmetered areas, or replacement of traditional water meters with AMR or smart meters	10
15 Water meter replacement with traditional meters	5
16 Installation or retrofitting water efficient devices such as plumbing fixtures and appliances (toilets, showerheads, urinals)	5
17 Replacement of (potable) landscape irrigation with more efficient landscape irrigation systems	5
18 Recycling and water reuse projects that replace potable sources with non-potable sources (grey water, wastewater effluent)	10
19 Installation or upgrade of SCADA systems	15

Sum the points from each category below.

Part A: Enforcement and Compliance (50 points maximum)	
Part B: Drinking Water Contaminants (150 points maximum)	
Part C: Water/Energy Efficiency (45 points maximum)	
Part D: Capacity and Pressure (100 points maximum)	
Part E: System Consolidation (50 points maximum)	
Part F: Sustainability (50 bonus points maximum)	
Part G: Reporting (Maximum Reduction of 30)	
Part H: Affordability (60 points maximum)	
Part I: Infrastructure Improvement	
TOTAL POINTS CLAIMED:	

This form should be signed by the official who is authorized to execute contracts on behalf of the applicant jurisdiction. **ONE SIGNED COPY (including attachments)** should be emailed to the address shown on Page 1 of this form.

Attachments to be included with this form:

1. **Preliminary Engineering Report (PER Outline PER Format Below (Preferred))**
2. **Copies of last three (3) years of audited financial statements (if available)**

Preliminary Engineering Report Outline:

1. **Description of Project**
 - a. Brief description and background of project
 - b. Purpose of project
 - c. Location of project
 - d. Project Scope
 - e. Average annual household water bill
 - f. Population and median household income
2. **Proposed Improvements**
 - a. System connections and connections that benefit from construction
 - b. System plan for water conservation
 - c. Proposed operation and management
 - d. Improvements to system
3. **Project Maps**
 - a. Include all affected water bodies
4. **Projected Outlay Schedule**
5. **Cost Breakdown**
 - a. Estimated cost outline for entire project
6. **Supporting Documentation*** for priority points claimed, as required above. Any points claimed that cannot be readily substantiated from the information submitted will not be counted. The Department reserves the right to make the final determination of all points awarded.

The undersigned representative of the applicant certifies that the information in the application and in the attached statements and exhibits is true, correct and complete to the best of the applicant's knowledge, information and belief.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Print or Type Name
Title	Date