



ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

POST OFFICE BOX 301463 ◆ 1400 COLISEUM BLVD. 36110-2059 MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 36130-1463

WWW.ADEM.STATE.AL.US (334) 271-7700

September 30, 1999

DON SIEGELMAN

GOVERNOR

Facsimiles: (334)

Administration: 271-7950 General Counsel: 394-4332

Air: 279-3044 Land: 279-3050

Water: 279-3051 Groundwater: 270-5631 Field Operations: 272-8131 Laboratory: 277-6718

Mining: 394-4326 Education/Outreach: 394-4383

MEMORANDUM

TO:

JAMES W. WARR

DIRECTOR

Stephen A. Cobb, Chief

Hazardous Waste Branch

Land Division

FROM:

Naveen C. Sharma

Industrial Facilities Section

Land Division

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Induron Protective Coatings Inc.'s status under the RCRIS Corrective

Action Environmental Indicator Event Codes (CA725 and CA750)

EPA I.D. Number: ALD 004 010 120

I. PURPOSE OF MEMO

This memo is written to formalize an evaluation of Induron Protective Coatings Inc's status in relation to the following corrective action event codes defined in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS):

- 1) Current Human Exposures Under Control (CA725),
- 1) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control (CA750).

Concurrence by the Hazardous Waste Branch Chief is required prior to entering these event codes into RCRIS. Your concurrence with the interpretations provided in the following paragraphs and the subsequent recommendations is satisfied by dating and signing at the appropriate location within Attachments 1 and 2.

II. HISTORY OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR EVALUATIONS AT THE FACILITY AND REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

This particular evaluation is the first evaluation for Induron Protective Coatings Inc. The discussions, interpretations, and conclusions presented during this evaluation regarding contamination and exposures at the facility were based on the following reference documents:

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Facility Assessment (RFA) finalized in December 1998. RFA identified and summarized information for 10 SWMUs and two AOCs. No further action is recommended at any of the SWMUs or AOCs.



ATTACHMENT 1

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:

Induron Protective Coatings Inc.

Facility Address:

3333, 10th Avenue North Birmingham Alabama

Facility EPA ID #:

ALD 004 010 120

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?					
	X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below,					
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or					
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status					

BACKGROUND

<u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

code.

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors)

Rationale	and	Reference(S)	
-----------	-----	--------------	--

References may be found in section 4.0 through 4.12, Section 5.0 through 5.4 and Section 6.0 of the attached Final RFA.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table							
	Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)						
"Contami-	Resident	Workers	Day-	Construction	Trespasser	Recreation	Food ³
nated"	<u>s</u>		Care	,	<u>s</u>		=====
Media							
Groundwate	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
r			f				
<u>Air</u>	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
(indoors)							103/110
Soil	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
(surface,	,				105/110	<u>103/140</u>	Tes/No
<u>e.g., $<2 \text{ ft}$</u>							
Surface	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Vec/NIe
Water			1 00/110	103/110	103/110	1 ES/NO	Yes/No
Sediment	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Voc/No	V AT	37 37
		103/110	103/110	1 CS/140	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
Soil	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
(subsurface,							105/110
<u>e.g., >2 ft)</u>						ļ	
<u>Air</u>	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
(outdoors)	-				200,110	103/140	1 62/110
						· ·	

<u>Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table</u>:

- 1. For Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2, please strike-out specific Media, including Human Receptors' spaces, or enter "N/C" for not contaminated.
- 2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have assigned spaces in the above table. While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

³Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

	- skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposur pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Rationale a	nd Reference(s):

oi exposure	of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)? If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in
	#3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining some pathways) to "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and explaining some pathways are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and explaining and "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") are "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") and "contamination" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") are "contamination
	remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
- -	expected to be "significant." If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status Code

⁴If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

	Can the 's	ignificant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale a	
6. event c determ	ode (CA725)	appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility): YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Induron Protective Coatings Inc. facility, EPA ID #_ALD 004 010 120, located at 3333 10 th Avenue North, Birmingham Alabama under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
		IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by Noven C-Sharma Date 09/30/99

Naveen C. Sharma

Environmental Engineer-I

Supervisor

Date 09/30/99 5

Stephen A. Cobb

Chief, Hazardous Waste Branch

State of Alabama

Locations where References may be found:

Section 4.0 through 4.12, Section 5.0 through 5.4, and Section 6.0 of the attached Final RFA.

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)

Naveen C. Sharma

(phone #)

(334) 270-5608

(e-mail)

ncs@adem.state.al.us

⁵FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

ATTACHMENT 2

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Event Code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name:

Induron Protective Coatings Inc.

Facility Address:

3333 10th Avenue North, Birmingham Alabama.

Facility EPA ID #:

ALD 004 010 120

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this El determination?					
	X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below,					
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or					
	If data are not available, skip to #8 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status					

BACKGROUND

<u>Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)</u>

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

2.

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

guide	Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately ctive "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, lines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from cility?
	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	X If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Reference(s):
	References may be found in section 4.0 through 4.12, Section 5.0 through 5.4 and Section 6.0 of the attached Final RFA.
3.	Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination?
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination".
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	1"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

²"existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Rationale Reference	
Does "con	taminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after provide explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale s	and Reference(S)
maximum eir appropr of discharg	narge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignific concentration ⁸ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than liate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature and ing contaminants, or environmental setting) which significantly increase the postpacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
maximum eir appropr of discharg	narge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignific concentration ⁸ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 1 iate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature and ing contaminants, or environmental setting) which significantly increase the portage to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)? If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after docume 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ⁸ of key contant discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) providing
maximum eir appropr of discharg	narge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignific concentration ⁸ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 1 iate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature and ing contaminants, or environmental setting) which significantly increase the postpacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)? If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after docume 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ⁸ of key contain discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level"

³As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporathese conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharge groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface was a support of the support of the surface was a support of the surface was a support of the support
is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protect of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a fassessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impassociated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surfacewater/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bioassays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the El determination.
f no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after document the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
f i

⁴Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

groundwate	dwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, y) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained norizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminate er?"
·	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
Rationale a	nd Reference(S)
<u> </u>	
and date on map of the f	rol EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signatur the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as acility).
<u>X</u>	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Induron Protective Coatings Inc.,
	facility, EPA ID # ALD 004 010 120, located at 3333 10 th Avenue North Birmingham Alabama. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
	Birmingham Alabama. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of
	Birmingham Alabama. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility. NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or

completed by	Naveen C. Sharma Date <u>09/30/99</u>	
	Environmental Engineer-I	
	A COLOR	
Supervisor	Date <u>09/30/99</u>	
	Stephen A. Cobb	
	Chief, Hazardous Waste Branch	
	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	
ocations who	ere References may be found:	
_Section	4.0 through 4.12, 5.0 through 5.4 and 6.0 of the Final RFA.	
-		
ontact teleph	none and e-mail numbers	
(name)	Navigar C. Classics	
(phone #)	<u>Naveen C. Sharma</u> (334) 270-5608	
(e-mail)	ncs@adem.state.al.us	
()	nes/watern.state.ar.us	
	•	
•	The second secon	
	TEING ACTIONS	
	· ·	
	ന്നു നിന്നും അവുവ വൃഷ്ട വള്ളത്തെ വിവാന് വാര്യ വരാ വാര്യ വരാഗ്രാവ എട്ടുക്കുന്നു. വിവർഷ് മില്ലെങ്ങള്ള അവ്യക്ക് വരാ	
	we with a trial of the distribution of the control	
	Exception of the control of the cont	
	COPMATION	i V
	BLANCE TO THE THEORY CHICK WHICH REPRESENTED THE THRESHOLD IN THE THEORY CHICAGO CONTROL TO THE THRESHOLD THE THRESHOLD THE THRESHOLD TH	į
	A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE PARTY CONTROL OF TH	1
	in the state of th	